

The
Mint of the United States,
at
Philadelphia, Nov. 16, 1875

Hon: James Pollock Esq
Superintendent of the
Mint
Dear Sir

In the case contained in the letter of Wm W. Kemmoch Esq., the assay proved nothing, either as to the coin and planchet being genuine, or counterfeit. They both came within legal standard, and for aught the assay shows, may have been good or bad.

It was the faulty dies which the counterfeit proved the counterfeit coin. As to the planchet, it was only doubtful from being in bad company. The Engraver therefore is the only proper witness, Wm Barber Esq.
The Assay was made by the

^{Assayer}
Assistant Engraver, Mr. Jacob
B. Eckfeldt. We have so few
hands, especially in prospect of
making gold ingots, that it will
be difficult to spare him; and
besides, he can give no evidence to
the purpose. - The only Mint
Record is the letter which Mr.
Hickok wrote at the time.

Very respectfully

Wm E DuBois
Assayer

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 148

The Mint of the United States,
At Philadelphia,
November 16, 1875

Hon. James Pollock ~~Esq.~~
Superintendent &c. &c.

Dear Sir

In the case contained in the letter of Wm. W. Kennoch Esq., the assay proved nothing, either as to the coin and planchet being genuine, or counterfeit. They both came within legal standard, and for aught the assay shows, may have been good or bad.

It was the faulty dies which ~~the counterfeit~~ proved the counterfeit coin. As to the planchet, it was only doubtful from being in bad company. The Engraver therefore is the only proper witness, Wm. Barber Esq.

The Assay was made by the Assistant ~~Engraver~~ Assayer, Mr. Jacob B. Eckfeldt. We have so few hands, especially in prospect of making gold ingots, that it will be difficult to spare him; and besides, he can give no evidence to the purpose. The only Mint Record is the letter which Mr. Hickok wrote at the time.

Very respectfully,
Wm. E. Du Bois
Assayer